

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,424	0	6/29/2001	Preston J. Hunt	P 0297168 P11163	5293	
909	7590	11/24/2004		EXAMINER		
PILLSBUR P.O. BOX 10		HROP, LLP		JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB		
MCLEAN,		2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				2143		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	$-\frac{()}{\lambda}$
				Y
Office Action Summary	09/893,424		HUNT, PRESTON J.	
CCo Addon Callindary	Examiner		Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this commu		enchonwanit	2143	
Period for Reply	moudon appours on are e			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutor statutory period will apply and will e bly will, by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be ti ry minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tition to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>29 <i>June 2001</i></u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non	ı-final.	\ *	
3) Since this application is in conditio	n for allowance except fo	r formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i> y	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	• •	ideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election req	uirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/arc		objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obj				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir				21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
<u> </u>		051100001101		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	v dagumanta hava haan r	ropolyad		
1. Certified copies of the priorit	•		tion No	
2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies	•	• •		
application from the Internati	·		ed in this National Stage	3
* See the attached detailed Office acti	·		ed.	
		,		
Attachment(s)	. 41	Into a dans Commercia	W (DTO 412)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	* *	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of	or PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/893,424

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Woods et al (US 2002/0087692).
- 4. Regarding claims 1, 3, 6-8, 10, 11, 16-18, 20, 22-24 and 26-29, Woods discloses a method and apparatus for accessing web site via intervening control layer, which comprising, Winsock and TCP stack for enable a control layer for interfacing with network, intercepting URL; determining whether the URL is permitted to access, thereto. (Fig 2-200; paragraph 9-10). The aforementioned implied teaching of monitoring network traffic by a network interface; filtering network address. Wood further teaches storing the address in a database (Fig. 2-214); and queries the database by a network device (Fig. 3-330, paragraph 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,424

Art Unit: 2143

5. Regarding claims 2, implicitly, Woods discloses web address is categorized based on content (paragraph 25).

- 6. Regarding claim 4, user review web address and restrict a second user from surfing the site (teaching in paragraph 25, clearly could be used for determining the restriction imposed on any number of users).
- Regarding claims 5, 13-15, 19, 21, Woods discloses the invention substantially, as claimed, as described in claim 1, but does explicitly state the type of network and network devices, such as any one an Internet tablet, a palm computing device, a cell phone, and a TV-based Internet device, Intranet, wireless or Home network. Official Notice is taken (see MPEP 2144.03) any or all of the aforementioned device was well known and widely used the same application in the art at the time of the invention was made. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the devices which nearly recognized as a standard of increasing mobility in the Internet Environment. Because not including such devices therein, would generate negative impact for in market process of the final product.
- 8. Regarding claims 9, Woods discloses the invention substantially, as claimed, as described, including, but it is silent to displaying web addresses is a drop-down menu. Official Notice is taken (see MPEP 2144.03) implementation of drop down menu containing web addresses was well known and widely used in the art. For instance, in every conventional browser, e.g., Netscape, Internet explorer, such concept is used for Book-Marking web site and later presenting in drop down menu to eliminate, user from, remembering web site addresses, which is a simplistic and convenience way in web navigational. Thus, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/893,424

Art Unit: 2143

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the convention inventive concept of drop down menu, including web addresses, with any system that dealing web navigation in order to simplify navigation over the Internet.

- 9. Regarding claim 12, Wood discloses the invention substantially, as claimed, as described in claim 10 but Woods is silent to an ability of having user enable monitoring. However changing automatically process to manually process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made that was a matter of choice. Further, the court held that broadly providing an automatic or mechanical means to replace a manual activity, which accomplished the same result, is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art. *In re Venner*, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958). (See MPEP 2144.04.III).
- 10. Regarding claims 25, Woods discloses a software agent on a client (Fig 2D).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit whose telephone number is (571) 272-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/893,424

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2143

/bj 11/20/04